

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,226	01/21/2000	Tomasz J. Cholewo	1168.004US1	5585
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Lexmark International, Inc 740 West New Circle Road Lexington, KY 40550			VIDA, MELANIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 ,			2626	4
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/489,226	CHOLEWO ET AL.5				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melanie M Vida	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,12,14,15,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,12,14,15,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atia a manuina mant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	· ·	od.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flut receive	su.				
Au . I						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Datent and Trademark Office	· — —					

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed 1/16/04. Claims 1-6, 11-12, 14-15, and 22-23 are pending. Claims 7-10, 13, and 16-21 are cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Paper Number 6, page 7, filed 1/16/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) has been fully considered and are persuasive. It is agreed that MacDonald does not teach the added limitation in claim 1, "converting the first gamut in CMYK color space to a gamut in a color space having a lightness component", (pg. 7, paragraph 6). In claim 4, it is agreed that MacDonald does not teach the added limitation, "a first gamut in CMYK color space". Finally, MacDonald does not teach the added limitation in claims 10 and 12, "changing a lightness component of the CIELAB space gamut to form an enhanced CIELAB space gamut". Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further search, a new ground(s) of rejection of Decker et al. US-PAT-NO: 5,987,168 is applied below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2626

4. Claims 1-3, 4-6, 11-12, 14-15, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the gamut in the CMYK color space" in line 4, page 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "in the CMYK color space" in line 5, page 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the full CMYK color space" in line 6, page 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the a CMY space" in line 2, page 3.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim

5. The Applicants have amended claims 2-3 with claim language that is unsupported in the specification. The Examiner cites the specification, wherein it states the following:

"In another embodiment, a gamut in a CMY color space having an upper surface and a lower surface is converted to a gamut in a CMYK color space having a bottom surface. The gamut in the CMYK color space is converted to a gamut in a CIELAB color space and the gamut in the CIELAB color space has a lightness component. The gamut in the CIELAB color space is modified by changing the lightness component such that the upper surface of the gamut in the CMY color space is preserved and the lower surface in the CMY color space is mapped to the bottom surface of the gamut of the CMYK color space to form an gamut in an expanded CIELAB color space", (pages 2, line 27 through page 3, line 5).

This is contrary to claim 2, which states, "modifying the gamut in the color space having a lightness component by changing a lightness component of a color value in the color space having a lightness component such that the upper surface of the first gamut in the CMYK color space is preserved and a lower surface of the first gamut in the CMYK color space is mapped to

Art Unit: 2626

a bottom surface of the gamut of the full CMYK color space to form an expanded gamut in the color space having a lightness component", (claim 2, lines 4-9).

In conclusion, it appears to the Examiner that the "rescaling of the lightness component in the CMYK color space", as stated in claim 2, and "rescaling of the lightness component in the CMY color space" as stated in the specification, are contradictory to the embodiment of the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 6. Regarding, claims 1-6, 11-12, the Applicants state that the first gamut is in CMYK color space, (claim 1, line 2-3; claim 4, lines 2-3; claim 6, lines 1-3 and 6; claim 11, lines 2-3; claim 12, lines 2-3; claim 1). However, it appears to the Examiner that the CMY color space is the first gamut color space, and that CMYK is the second color gamut, because the CMYK gamut is derived from CMY gamut.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC second paragraph for depending on rejected claim 4.
 Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 USC second paragraph for depending on rejected claim 12.

Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 USC second paragraph for depending on rejected claim 11.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2626

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

9. Claims 1, 2-3, 11-12, 14, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Decker et al. US-PAT-NO: 5,987,168, (hereinafter, Decker).

Regarding, claim 1, as best understood from the claim language, Decker teaches a method of color conversion from a 3D color space to a 4D color space as shown in figure 1, which reads on "a method comprising:" (col. 4, lines 36-41; col. 7, lines 24-28).

In step (102) CMY:3 Col Matrix for N(729, 9x9x9) patches are input to a gray component replacement program step (122), which reads on "converting a gamut in CMY color space" and outputs in step (124) CMYK Measure for (L*a*b*)ks (126), which reads on "to a first gamut in a CMYK color space", (col. 4, lines 38-54; col. 7, lines 25-29; col. 8, line 60 through col. 9, line 4 and lines 36-39).

Moreover, in step (128), Decker states that a 7-column table is produced having the new CMYK, which reads on "converting the first gamut in CMYK color space" and the

Art Unit: 2626

corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "to a gamut in a color space having a lightness component;" (col. 9, lines 31-35).

Decker teaches to insure the accuracy of the inversion and interpolation algorithms, it is important that the L*a*b* nodal points associated with C'M'Y'K' are very close to those established for (CMY)p, which reads on "rescaling a lightness component of a gamut color space having a lightness component to form a modified gamut", (col. 9, lines 46-49).

Finally, Decker teaches that the (L*a*b*)p (130) is inverted to obtain the new (CMYK)k (138), which reads on "converting the modified gamut to a second gamut in a CMYK color space", (col. 9, lines 50-51).

Regarding, claim 2, as best understood from the claim language, Decker teaches an algorithm for deriving a table of CMY for each value of K in terms of L*a*b* called (CMY)k, where a* and b* are equal to zero, and also a second table having the new values of CMYK and the corresponding L*a*b* values from the original sample patches, which reads on "rescaling a lightness component of a gamut in the color space having a lightness component to form a modified gamut", (col. 8, lines 33-36; col. 9, lines 31-35).

Decker teaches that K values are associated with the K values from the greyscale patches read, which reads on "modifying the gamut in the color space having a lightness component of a color value in the color space having a lightness component", (col. 10, lines 55-58). A step for every non-zero CMY, the K versus CMY table is used to find the greatest K percentage where the corresponding (CMY)k when subtracted from (CMY)p do not result in any negative values, which reads on "such that the upper surface of the first gamut in the CMYK color space is preserved and a lower surface of the first gamut in the CMYK color space is mapped to a bottom

Art Unit: 2626

surface of the gamut of the full CMYK color space to form an expanded gamut in the color space having a lightness component", (col. 8, lines 48-65; col. 9, lines 5-16).

Regarding, claim 3, Decker teaches that the original CMYp table is modified with a CMY vs. K LUT (i.e. lookup table), and a difference between (CMY)p and (CMY)k derives the CMYK color space, which reads on "converting a gamut in a CMY color space to a first gamut in a CMYK color space", (col. 9, lines 20-30). Additionally, Decker teaches of a second step involving a K (i.e. black) substitution so that the original (CMY)p is modified with K versus (CMY)k table, and a difference between (CMY)p and (CMY)k forms the CMYK gamut, which reads on "applying a black generation method to the gamut in the CMY color space to form the first gamut in the CMYK color space", (col. 8, lines 48-51; col. 9, lines 20-28).

Regarding, claim 11, Decker teaches a method of color conversion from a 3D color space to a 4D color space as shown in figure 1, which reads on "a method comprising:" (col. 4, lines 36-41; col. 7, lines 24-28).

In step (102), CMY:3 Col Matrix for N(729, 9x9x9) patches are input to a gray component replacement program step (122), which reads on "transforming the CMY space gamut" and outputs in step (124) CMYK Measure for (L*a*b*)ks (126), which reads on "to obtain a first CMYK space gamut by including a black colorant in the CMY space gamut", (col. 4, lines 38-54; col. 7, lines 25-29; col. 8, line 60 through col. 9, line 4 and lines 36-39).

Moreover, in step (128), Decker states that a 7-column table is produced having the new CMYK values, which reads on "transforming the first CMYK space gamut to form" and the corresponding L*a*b* values from the original 9x9x9 sample patches, i.e., (L*a*b*)p, which

Art Unit: 2626

reads on "a CIELAB space gamut by printing a plurality of patches and measuring each of the plurality of patches to obtain the CIELAB space gamut;" (col. 9, lines 31-35).

A look up table can be made correlating C'M'Y'K values with the new corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "changing a lightness component of the CIELAB space gamut to form an enhanced CIELAB space gamut", (col. 9, lines 38-44).

Finally, Decker teaches that the L*a*b* (130) are inverted to obtain the new CMYK (138), which reads on "transforming the enhanced CIELAB space gamut to form a second CMYK space gamut", (col. 9, lines 50-53).

Regarding, claim 12, Decker teaches a method of color conversion from a 3D color space to a 4D color space as shown in figure 1, which reads on "a method comprising", (col. 4, lines 36-41; col. 7, lines 24-28).

In step (102), CMY:3 Col Matrix for N(729, 9x9x9) patches are input to a gray component replacement program step (122), which reads on "transforming the CMY space gamut" and outputs in step (124) CMYK Measure for (L*a*b*)ks (126), which reads on "to a first CMYK space gamut by including a black colorant in the CMY space gamut to form the CMYK space gamut", (col. 4, lines 38-54; col. 7, lines 25-29; col. 8, line 60 through col. 9, line 4 and lines 36-39).

Moreover, in step (128), Decker states that a 7-column table is produced having the new CMYK values, which reads on "transforming the first CMYK space gamut to form" and the corresponding L*a*b* values from the original 9x9x9 sample patches, i.e., (L*a*b*)p, which reads on "into a CIELAB space gamut by computing the CIELAB space gamut from a model

Art Unit: 2626

capable of mapping the CMYK space gamut into the CIELAB space gamut;" (col. 9, lines 31-35).

A look up table can be made correlating C'M'Y'K values with the new corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "changing a lightness component of the CIELAB space gamut to form an enhanced CIELAB space gamut", (col. 9, lines 38-44).

Finally, Decker teaches that the L*a*b* (130) are inverted to obtain the new CMYK (138), which reads on "transforming the enhanced CIELAB space gamut to form a second CMYK space gamut", (col. 9, lines 50-53).

Regarding, claim 14, Decker teaches of a look up table can be made correlating C'M'Y'K values with the new corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "changing a lightness component of the CIELAB space gamut to form an enhanced CIELAB space gamut", (col. 9, lines 38-44).

Regarding, claim 22, Decker teaches of a look up table can be made correlating C'M'Y'K values with the new corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "changing a lightness component of the CIELAB space gamut to form an enhanced CIELAB space gamut", (col. 9, lines 38-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2626

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 4-6, 15, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decker, US-PAT-NO: 5,987,168, as applied to claims 1, and 11-12, above, and further in view of Lin et al. US, Patent No. 6,185,004 (hereinafter, Lin).

Regarding, claim 4, Decker teaches a method of color conversion from a 3D color space to a 4D color space as shown in figure 1, which reads on "a method comprising:" (col. 4, lines 36-41; col. 7, lines 24-28).

Decker teaches a step (102) wherein CMY: 3 Col Matrix for N (729, 9x9x9) patches are input to a gray component replacement program (122), which reads on "converting a gamut in a CMY color space having an upper surface and a lower surface" to a first gamut in a CMYK color space, which reads on "to a first gamut in a CMYK color space", (col. 9, lines 31-35).

Moreover, in step (128), Decker states that a 7-column table is produced having the new CMYK, which reads on "converting the first gamut in CMYK color space" and the corresponding L*a*b* values, which reads on "to a gamut in a CIELAB color space, the gamut in the CIELAB color space having a lightness component;" (col. 9, lines 31-35).

Decker does not expressly disclose, "modifying the gamut in the CIELAB color space by changing the lightness component such that the upper surface of the gamut in the CMY color space is preserved and the lower surface in the CMY color space is mapped to the bottom surface of the gamut of the CMYK color space to form a gamut in an expanded CIELAB color space;

Art Unit: 2626

and transforming the gamut in the expanded CIELAB color space to form a second gamut in the CMYK color space".

However, Lin teaches that to modify the gamut in the CIELAB color space the lightness component, L, of the lower surface of the scanner gamut is rescaled L*=0 to 40 into L*=30 to 40 of the (CMYK) printer gamut, and leaving the upper levels of the scanner gamut L*=40 to 100 unchanged, which reads on "modifying the gamut in the CIELAB color space by changing the lightness component such that the upper surface of the gamut in the CMY color space is preserved and the lower surface in the CMY color space is mapped to the bottom surface of the gamut of a full CMYK color space to form a gamut in an expanded CIELAB color space, (col. 15, lines 53-60). Further, Lin inherently teaches, "transforming the gamut in the expanded CIELAB color space to form a second gamut in the CMYK color space" as evidenced by the printer gamut which is an indication that the output device dependent color space must be a CMYK gamut in order to print, and as further evidenced by figure 1, wherein the output device map (23) is converted from device independent color space (DICS) to an output –device dependent color space (DDCS), (31), (col. 5, lines 37-43; col. 15, lines 53-60).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Decker's method with Lin's CIELAB conversion into a CMY gamut.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the CIELAB to CMYK gamut conversion technique taught by Lin because two devices may have the same upper limit but different lower limits, given the express suggestion of Lin, (col. 15, lines 45-49).

Regarding, claim 5, please refer to the corresponding rejection in claim 2.

Regarding, claim 6, please refer to the corresponding rejection in claim 3.

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding, claim 15, Decker teaches the method of claim 14, but fails to expressly disclose, "computing a rescaling factor that is a function of an L_{min} , and L_{max} , and an L_{mincmy} ".

However, Lin teaches of mapping the minimum luminance level for a neutral color in the printer gamut, by compressing the luminance level, which reads on "computing a rescaling factor", with the factors L*=0, which reads on "L_{min}", and L*=100, which reads on "L_{max}", and Lx=40, which reads on "L_{minCMY}", (col. 15, lines 54-60).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Decker's method with Lin's method of rescaling luminance values.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to rescale the luminance values because the side-effect from converting from a CMY to CMYK, a 3D to 4D conversion, is that the process are based on theoretical colors and color relationships, and a printer may not be capable of producing the theoretical colors, given the express suggestion of Decker, (col. 5, lines 23-28).

Regarding, claim 23, please refer to the corresponding rejection in claim 15.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chang et al. US-PGPub: 2002/0113982 A1, black generation method for CMYK color printer using lookup tables and interpolation.

Art Unit: 2626

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie M Vida whose telephone number is (703) 306-4220.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie M Vida Examiner Art Unit 2626

MMV MMV

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT SOF

April 2, 2004